



RAPPORT DE PROJET DE FIN D'ETUDES

Pour l'Obtention du

Diplôme de Master Sciences et Techniques Spécialité : Génie Mécanique et Productique

Thème :

Using Lean Six Sigma and Design Thinking to improve the process quality in healthcare sector: Case study at CHU Fez

Présenté par :

SQUALLI HOUSSAINI Mouna

Encadré par :

- Pr. A. ABOUTAJEDDINE, Professeur département Génie Mécanique, FST Fès
- Pr. I. TOUGHRAI, Encadrant, CHU Fès
- Mr. Y. SABBANI, Encadrant de la société Dr.C.Soldan gmbh

Effectué à : CHU de Fès

Soutenu le : 19/06/2018

Devant le jury :

• Pr. A. El HAKIMI	Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Fès
• Pr. M. EL MAJDOUBI	Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Fès
• Pr.M. BELATIK	Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Fès
• Pr. A. ABOUTAJEDDINE	Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Fès
• Pr. I. TOUGHRAI	CHU de Fès
• Mr. Y. SABBANI	Dr.C.Soldan gmbh

Année Universitaire : 2017-2018

Faculté des Sciences et Techniques - Fès - B.P. 2202 – Route d'Imouzzer – FES *■* 212 (0) o5 35 60 29 53 Fax : 212 (0) 05 35 60 82 14 Web : http://www.fst-usmba.ac.ma/

Remerciements

- Avant tout développement sur cette expérience professionnelle, il apparait opportun de commencer ce rapport de stage par des remerciements.
- Après Dieu, je tiens à exprimer mon remerciement à ma famille, et surtout mes parents qui m'ont soutenu et encouragé au cours de toutes les années passées et qui continueront à le faire.
- Je tiens à adresser mes remerciements les plus sincères à tout le corps professoral et administratif de la *Faculté des Sciences et Techniques de Fès*.
- Je tiens également à adresser mes plus sincères remerciements à l'ensemble du corps du *Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Hassan 2 Fès*, et plus précisément à mon encadrante *Professeur Imane TOUGHRAI* qui fournit d'énormes efforts pour ses étudiants afin qu'ils puissent avoir une formation complète, dans les conditions les plus favorables.
- Je tiens à remercier docteur *Mohammed LAZRAK*, pour m'avoir aidé tout au long de ce stage.
- Je tiens à remercier surtout professeur Ahmed ABOUTAJEDDINE pour m'avoir encadré tout au long de mon stage, aussi d'être source d'information, de communication, d'encadrement et d'orientation technique pendant toute la durée de stage sans hésiter à aucun moment de me consacrer une part de son temps précieux afin de m'aider Considérablement dans la réalisation de ce travail.
- Je tiens également à adresser mes plus sincères remerciements à mon encadrant *Mr. Youssef SABBANI* qui m'a offert tout son temps et son attention dans le but de réussir le projet et acquérir un nouveau bagage professionnel.

Table of content

Remerciements	s	0
Abbreviations.		8
Introduction:		9
Chapter I: Org	ganization outline	3
Introduction	n:	4
1- About t	he hospital:	4
2- Organiz	zational chart:	6
3- CHU F.	<i>EZ Map</i> :	6
4- The sur	gery unit B:	7
Conclusion.	:	8
Chapter II: In	troduction to Lean Six Sigma methodology1	9
I- Introducti	<i>on:</i>	0
II- Six Sign	<i>na:</i>	0
1- Historic	cal development of Six Sigma:	0
2- Overvie	w of Six Sigma:	1
2.1 De	finition:	1
2.2 Ob	jective:	2
2.3 DN	<i>IAIC</i> :	2
• De	fine Phase:	3
• Me	easure Phase:	4
• An	alyze phase:	5
• Im	prove phase:	5
• Co	ontrol phase:	6
III- Lean m	anagement :	6
1- Historic	cal development of Lean management:	6
2- Overvie	w of Lean management:	7
2.1 Ab	out Lean approach:	7
2.2 Ke	y principles of Lean management:	8
2.3 Wa	astes of lean management:	0
2.4 Ste	ps of lean:	0
IV- Lean Si	x Sigma:	2
1- Overvie	w of lean Six Sigma:	2
2.1 WI	hy does Lean need Six Sigma?	3
1.1 WI	hy does Six Sigma need Lean?	4
2. Implem	entation of lean Six Sigma: 3	5

2.1	Benefits of Lean Six sigma :	35
2.2	Challenges of Lean Six Sigma:	35
3. 1	Lean Six Sigma toolbox:	36
3.1	Toolbox:	36
3	3.1.1 Project charter:	36
3	3.1.2 Critical to Quality:	38
3	3.1.3 Process Flow chart:	39
3	3.1.4 SIPOC:	39
Conc	clusion:	41
Chapter	· III: Introduction to Design thinking	42
Intro	duction:	43
1- (Overview of Design thinking:	43
2- S	Stages of Design thinking:	43
<i>a</i>)	Empathize:	43
C	ন্থ Empathy map:	44
b)	Define:	45
C	ন্থ Customer Profile :	45
C	ন্থ Value Map :	46
c)	Ideate:	46
d)	Prototype:	46
e)	<i>Test:</i>	46
3- 7	The Non-Linear Nature of Design Thinking:	47
Conc	clusion:	47
Chapter	· IV: Problem definition and research methodology	48
Intro	duction:	49
I- 1	Lean Six Sigma:	49
1. 1	Lean Six Sigma in healthcare:	49
2. 1	Research Methodology:	49
3. A	Analysis	50
4. 1	Healthcare Lean Six Sigma Framework	51
II- 1	Design thinking:	51
1- I	Design thinking in healthcare:	51
2-1	How are we going to provide a more effective quality of care to patients?	52
Conc	clusion:	53
Chapter	· V: Solutions using Lean Six Sigma Methodology	54
Intro	duction:	55
The s	sample size:	55

<i>I</i> -	Lean	Six Sigma:	56
1-	Defin	e:	56
	1. P	roject charter:	56
	2.1	Critical to quality (CTQ) tree:	57
	2.2	Flowchart:	59
	2.3	SIPOC:	60
2.	Meası	ure:	60
	2.1	The current process time:	60
	3.1.	Time series plot of total process time:	61
	3.2.	Run chart of total process time:	61
	3.3.	Process capability report:	62
	3.4.	Probability plot:	63
	3.5.	Goal of the study:	63
3.	Analy	ze:	64
	3.1.	Ishikawa Diagram:	64
	3.2	5 whys of some Ishikawa causes:	65
	3.3	Micro level Flowchart:	67
	Diagn	osis to CT scans:	68
	CT sc	an to Multidisciplinary/ Surgical Meeting:	69
	TDM	TAP to Surgery:	71
	Concl	usion of the detailed study of the process:	72
	3.4	Minimizing the delay between Diagnosis and CT Scan (TDM/TAP):	72
4.	Impro	ve:	72
	Befor	e and after implementation:	74
5.	Contr	ol:	74
5	5.1. P	Process improvement:	75
5	5.2. S	tandardization of the part II:	75
Ca	onclusio	n:	76
Chap	ter VI:	Solutions using Design thinking	77
In	troducti	on:	78
1-	Empa	thize:	78
2-	Defin	e:	79
	2.1	Customer profile:	79
	2.2	Value map:	80
3-	Ideate	÷	81
Ca	onclusio	n:	83
Conc	lusion:		84

Perspectives :	84
Reference	85
Appendix 1 Table: Measures	86
Appendix 2 Table: State of Art	89
Appendix 3 Empathy Map	94
Appendix 4 Value map	96
Appendix 5 Table: normal law	98

Figures

Figure 1: The University Hospital Hassan II of Fez	14
Figure 2: CHU's Organizational chart	16
Figure 3: Map of CHU fez	17
Figure 4: Historical development of Six Sigma	21
Figure 5: Six Sigma methodology	21
Figure 6: The five steps of Six Sigma methodology	23
Figure 7: Tools used in the define phase	24
Figure 8: Tools used in the measure phase	25
Figure 9: Before and after application of Lean management	29
Figure 10: the seven wastes of Lean	30
Figure 11: The forces opposing and driving a change to 'lean'.	32
Figure 12: Lean Six Sigma	33
Figure 13: Lean Six Sigma Toolbox	36
Figure 14: steps of the Project Charter	37
Figure 15: Example of a Project Charter	37
Figure 16: how to make CTQ tree	38
Figure 17: Example of a CTQ tree	38
Figure 18: Symbols used in a Flow chart	39
Figure 19: Example of a Flow chart	39
Figure 20: Steps Of SIPOC	40
Figure 21: Example of SIPOC diagram	40
Figure 22: 5 stages of Design thinking process	43
Figure 23: Example of Empathy map	44
Figure 24: Customer Profile Canvas	45
Figure 25: Value Map	46
Figure 26: Design thinking is a Non-linear methodology	47
Figure 27: Research methodology Framework	50
Figure 28: Study stages	51
Figure 29: the impact of innovation on the quality of care	52
Figure 30: Steps of design thinking in healthcare	52
Figure 31: CTQ Tree	58
Figure 32: Flow chart of the patient process	59
Figure 33: SIPOC	60
Figure 34: Time series plot of total process time	61
Figure 35: Run chart of total process time	62
Figure 36: process capability report	62
Figure 37: probability plot	63
Figure 38: Ishikawa Diagram	64
Figure 39: Micro level Patient's flow chart	67
Figure 40: Run chart of the process dispersion	68
Figure 41: Probability of time between Diagnosis and TDM/TAP	68
Figure 42: Process capability report of time between Diagnosis and TDM/TAP	69
Figure 43: Run chart of time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff	69
Figure 44: Probability plot of time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff	70
Figure 45: Process time capability report for time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff	70
Figure 46: Run chart of the time between TDM/TAP and surgery	71

Figure 47: Probability plot of time between RCP/Staff and Surgery	71
Figure 48: Process time capability report for time between RCP/Staff and surgery	71
Figure 49: Chart of total process time after improvement	73
Figure 50: Probability plot of total process time after improvement	73
Figure 51: process capability report for the new total process time	74
Figure 52: Kano	78
Figure 53: Empathy map	79
Figure 54: Customer profile Canvas	80
Figure 55: Value map	81
Figure 56: remove non-added value actions	82
Figure 57: Free reception centers	83
Figure 58: Part 1-empathy map	94
Figure 59: Part 2 -Empathy map	95
Figure 60: Value map- part 1	96
Figure 61: value map- part 2	97
Figure 62: Table of the normal law	98

Tables

Fable 1: Technical sheet of CHU Fez	15
Fable 2: key of the CHU's map	17
Fable 3: Activities related to the surgery service B	18
Table 4: The number of parts per million (ppm) outside the specification limits, normal	
listribution centered	22
Fable 5: Why Lean need Six Sigma?	34
Гаble 6: Lean Six Sigma challenges	36
Гаble 7: Reviewed article analysis	50
Гable 8: Design thinking VS Lean Six Sigma	53
Гаble 9:The Z-Score	55
Fable 10: Planning Of DMAIC phases	57
Fable 11: Current Process Time	61
Fable 12: Ishikawa liker scale	65
Гаble 13: 5 whys of Ishikawa causes	66
Fable 14: Actions with and without added value	72
Cable 15: total process time before and after implementation	74
Fable 16: Proposed model of traceability table	75
Fable 18: State of art	93

Abbreviations

CHU : Centre hospitalière universitaire

LSS : Lean Six Sigma

DMAIC: Define/Measure / Analyze/ Improve/Control

CTQ: Critical to quality

SIPOC: Supplier/ Input/ Process/ Output/ Customer

CT scan: computerized tomography

TDM: Tomodensitométrie

TAP : Thorax / abdomen / pelvis

RCP: Réunion de concertation pluridisciplinaire.

Résumé

- Parmi les problèmes majeurs rencontrés au service de chirurgie viscérale B au CHU de Fès : les longs délais d'attente. Ces retards peuvent être tolérés sauf pour les patients tumoraux. Même s'ils sont traités comme étant des cas urgents, ils attendent plusieurs jours pour être opérés.
- Pour traiter ce problème nous avons opté pour une stratégie de solution qui marie deux méthodologies robustes: Lean Six Sigma (un outil d'amélioration continue) et Design Thinking (une approche de l'innovation radicale).
- Cette étude nous a permis de :
 - ⇒ Proposer quelques solutions d'amélioration de processus par la méthode Lean Six Sigma.
 - Proposer quelques solutions innovatrices par le biais de la méthode Design Thinking.
 - ⇒ Faire la convergence des solutions obtenues par les deux méthodes.

Abstract

- Among the major problems encountered at the visceral surgery department B at the University Hospital of Fez: long waiting times. These delays may be tolerated except for tumor patients. Even if they are treated as urgent cases, they wait several days for surgery.
- To deal with this problem, we opted for a solution strategy that combines two robust methodologies: Lean Six Sigma (a tool for continuous improvement) and Design Thinking (an approach to radical innovation).

This study allowed us to:

- ⇒ Suggest some process improvement solutions using the Lean Six Sigma method.
- ➡ To propose some innovative solutions through the Design Thinking method.
- \Rightarrow Converge the solutions obtained by the two methods.

Introduction:

Les hôpitaux sont désormais confrontés à des exigences de plus en plus élevées en termes de qualité et de sécurité des soins. En effet, la majorité des organisations de santé dans le monde trouvent des difficultés à gérer convenablement leurs patients. Le problème majeur est d'une part les listes d'attentes encombrées, et d'autre part la difficulté de caractérisation de la qualité recherchée par le patient. Une piste pour répondre à ces problèmes se trouve très certainement dans la réorganisation et l'optimisation des processus ; pour ce faire, les organisations hospitalières pourraient s'inspirer des approches d'amélioration des processus issues du génie industriel. Celles-ci suscitent en effet un intérêt croissant de la part du milieu hospitalier, et parmi elles, l'approche Lean qui semble être de plus en plus adoptée notamment dans les pays anglo-saxons.

À cet égard, les méthodes Lean et Six Sigma suscitent beaucoup d'intérêt dans le secteur de la santé depuis le début des années 2000. Ces méthodes semblent avoir été implantées avec succès dans des environnements cliniques, notamment des hôpitaux de soins aigus, en majorité américains, et apparaissent comme les méthodes de réaménagement de processus les plus citées par la littérature spécialisée au cours des 10 dernières années.

Dans certains cas, l'amélioration du processus seule ne peut être suffisante pour la résolution des problèmes. En effet, la proposition de nouvelles solutions innovante peut remédier à ce défi : Le secteur de la santé innove. Mais pour qu'il se transforme réellement et durablement, les acteurs doivent collaborer d'avantage, embrasser de nouveaux concepts et rénover leurs approches méthodologiques. Les objectifs sont multiples : réduire les durées d'hospitalisation, favoriser d'autres modes de soin et d'accompagnement, éviter la redondance des actes médicaux, appréhender le patient dans la globalité de sa situation, y compris en trouvant des solutions pour accompagner sa famille. L'outil le plus convenable pour appliquer cette solution est le Design thinking, qui nous permet de bien comprendre ce que le patient cherche au service qu'on offre, et par conséquent de proposer un nouveau processus.

Dans notre recherche nous nous sommes basés essentiellement sur certaines études similaires à notre projet, y parmi un article qui vise « l'amélioration de la qualité des opérations et des soins » en appliquant les outils du Lean production. Cette étude a réussi à faire gagner 516 heures de temps total de traitement des patients par an [1]. D'autre part, P.F Lawrene e, MD, a visé « l'optimisation du temps de processus de chirurgie ainsi que l'amélioration de la qualité de chaque étape » en adoptant la méthodologie Lean Six Sigma, pour réussir à caractériser

les pertes du processus et à réduire le temps d'exécution de certaines étapes [2]. C J. Warner, MD, MS, D B. Walsh, A J. Horvath, BA , T R. Walsh, RN,

D P. Herrick, BA, S J. Prentiss, MEng, et R J. Powell. A rédigé d'autre part un article à propos de « l'identification des causes qui se cachent derrière les opérations retardées », il a utilisé comme outils DMAIC/ Value Stream map/ PARETO et CONTROL CHARTS. Ce qui a mené à des résultats très satisfaisants : l'étude a souligné les actions qui n'apportent pas de valeur ajoutée au processus, d'autre part elle a réussi à caractériser les causes probables du problème [3]. Quant à E.V. Gijo, Jiju A. et J. Hermand ez, J. scaria se sont fixé l'objectif « réduction du temps d'attente des patients dans un hôpital de spécialités en Inde », par conséquent l'étude est parvenue à déterminer les actions sans valeur ajoutée et à les éliminer par la suite, et aussi à minimiser les temps d'attentes de certaines étapes [4].

Nous avons opté dans cette étude pour une stratégie mixte reliant Lean six Sigma et Design Thinking, afin de réduire les délais d'attentes des patients au sein d'un service de chirurgie au CHU de Fès, et d'optimiser la qualité des soins en proposant des solutions à la fois d'amélioration et de proposition d'un processus fondamentalement nouveau.

Pour réussir le projet actuel, nous avons choisi une démarche en adoptant les étapes suivantes :

- Chapitre I : présentation de l'organisation d'acceuil ;
- Chapitre II : littérature sur la méthodologie Lean Six Sigma;
- Chapitre III: littérature sur la méthodologie Design Thinking ;
- Chapitre IV: Lean Six Sigma et Design thinking dans le milieu de la santé et démarche de recherche.
- Chapter V: implementation de la méthodologie Lean Six Sigma
- Chapter VI: Implémentation de la méthodologie Design Thinking

Chapter I: Organization outline

Introduction:

The study presented in this report is carried out at the university hospital Hassan II of Fez and specifically the surgery unit B. Before starting, it is very important to present the service and its various components. This will allow us to better understand its structure and to know the problems that staff and patients encounter during the course of the work.

This first chapter is indeed a global description of the service (structure, organization chart ...) and the work done by the medical staff.

1- About the hospital:

The University Hospital Hassan II of Fez is a semi-public health institution. It was created in November 2001, and it is in January 2009 that HM King Mohammed VI inaugurated the new hospital complex. The university hospital Hassan II is designed to meet the needs of more than four million people including the Fez-Boulemane (which counts 977 946 people), Meknes-Tafilalet (2 141 527 people) and Taza-Al Hoceima-Taounate regions (1 807 113 people). It aims to improve the medical coverage of this population and to install a spirit of quality and patient satisfaction.



Figure 1: The University Hospital Hassan II of Fez

Creation date:	30 August 2001	
Date of commissioning:	05 August 2002	
Organization:	The CHU center incorporates a direction and hospital services.	
Composition:Specialty Hospital; Mother and child hospital; External consultation block; Laboratories; Oncology; Nuclear medicine; Administration; Technical galleries and locals.		
Bed capacity:	585 bed	
Surface:	45060 m ²	
Global cost:	1, 200 billion DH	
Financing:	General budget of the State: 20%. Saudi Development Fund: 80% ready to 2% over 30 years.	
<i>Operating budget:</i> 645 323 509,8 Dhs.		
Staff distribution:	662 medical staff;1048 nursing staff;308 technical and administrative staff.	

2- Organizational chart:

The CHU consists of a direction, three administrative and medical partitions and several services. (Fig2)





3- CHU FEZ Map :



Α	Specialty	Ζ	Direction
	Hospital		
В	Specialty	Μ	The unity of
	Hospital		life
С	Specialty	Ν	agency
	Hospital		
D	Specialty	0	The morgue
	Hospital		
Е	Specialty	S	
	Hospital		
F	Specialty	V	Heliport
	Hospital		
G	Mother and	W	Mosque
	child		
	hospital.		
1	External	Т	Nuclear
	consultation		medicine
J	central	U	Unity of life
	laboratory		for patients
			families
Р	Emergency		
	room.		
Q	Technical		
	lobby		
Н	Oncology		
	hospital		

Figure 3: Map of CHU fez

Table 2: key of the CHU's map

4- The surgery unit B:

As mentioned before, Chu-Fez includes various specialties. However our study will focus on the surgery unit B located in the building E of the specialties hospital.

The staff includes:

- 3 seniors surgeons and university professors
- 1 senior surgeon
- Medical team : 16 residents and 2 interns
- Nursing team: 9 nurses.

➤ Activities:

- Cancers Surgery
- Colorectal and proctologic surgery;

- Hepato-biliary and pancreatic surgery;
- Endocrine surgery;
- Surgery of the stomach and esophagus;
- Surgery of the abdominal wall;
- Surgery of obesity;
- Management of visceral surgical emergencies.
- Interventions at the central block;
- Interventions in the emergency room;
- External patient consultations;
- Training: The external doctors of the 3rd and 6th year, residents and interns.

The activities of the service are not limited to this point, there are various other actions that relate to surgery B classified according to the following table:

Su	rgery	Activities within the service	training	Other activities
	Main room	Medical visit.	Surgical staff	Simulation centers
Central operating room:	Ambulatory room	Medical consultation (professors, resident doctors).	Multidisciplinary consultation meeting.	workshops
Emergency operating room.		Surgical Staff.	Lessons: external, internal and resident doctors.	Round tables Medical and surgical caravans
Table 3: Activities related to the surgery service B				

Conclusion:

The surgery unit B performs many activities that are related to surgery (as indicated by its name). At this level, a pertinent question arises: Does the service have any problems? If so, what type are they? Is there an effective tool that will allow us to have a solution?

Chapter II: Introduction to Lean Six Sigma methodology

I- Introduction:

After briefly describing the service concerned by our study, we will present in this chapter the lean six sigma methodology, its historical development its advantages and disadvantages and also its application to the medical field.

II- Six Sigma:

1- Historical development of Six Sigma:

Six Sigma as a measurement standard in product variation can be traced back to the 1920's when Walter Shewhart showed that three sigma from the mean is the point where a process requires correction.

The study regarding the evolution of Six Sigma would be incomplete without mentioning the valuable contribution from Motorola. In 1980, Six Sigma got a definitive form when a Motorola engineer coined the term Six Sigma for this quality management process. Motorola not only implemented this system in their organization, but they copyrighted it as well.

The society was facing extreme pressures from overseas competition, particularly Japan. Around 1987 Bill Smith (a reliability engineer) and others began improvement projects that in many ways looked similar to Total Quality Management (TQM) projects (Harry and Schroeder 2000). Mikel Harry and others helped Smith formulate this approach into an overall business initiative named "Six Sigma" based on the desire to reduce variation.

Motorola developed this new standard and created the methodology and needed cultural change associated with it, in order to measure the defects per million opportunities. Six Sigma helped Motorola realize powerful bottom-line results in their organization – in fact, they documented more than \$16 Billion in savings as a result of our Six Sigma efforts.

Since then, tens of thousands of companies around the world have adopted Six Sigma as a way of doing business.

Today, Six Sigma has been widely accepted by a large number of manufacturing companies as well as service organizations, in particular financial services such as Bank of America, J P Morgan Chase, Citibank and Bank of Montreal and health services such as Commonwealth Health Corporation and Mount Carmel Health. A number of public sector organizations have also embraced Six Sigma as a strategy to achieve process excellence, and consistency in the delivery of services to customers.



Six Sigma is a methodology and a philosophy that improves quality by analyzing data with statistics. It differs from other quality programs in its "topdown" drive and its rigorous methodology that demands detailed analysis, a control plan to ensure ongoing quality control of a process, and a fact-based decision making to all levels. The program changes the "DNA" of a company by changing the way the leaders think, improving the management pipeline, developing management and communication skills in people.

A Six Sigma process will approach 'zero defects' with only 3.4 defects per million opportunities (DPMO) for a defect to occur.

Six Sigma has at least three meanings, depending on the context:

- It can be viewed as a measure of quality. Sigma is a Greek letter which measures the variation in a process. Achieving a Six Sigma measure of quality means that processes are producing less than four defects per million opportunities.
- It can be viewed as a business improvement strategy and a philosophy.
- It is a problem-solving methodology that seeks to find and eliminate the causes of defects or mistakes in business processes by focusing on process outputs which are critical in the eyes of customers.

All companies need methods and tools of measuring what they claim to value. In order to measure companies' processes, six sigma uses metrics that lead to tangible quantifiable results. The higher the Six Sigma level is, the better the process is performing. If a process operates at a Six Sigma value the resulting products and services are almost defect free. (Table below)

Specification limit	Percent	Defective ppm		
+/- 1 Sigma	68.27	317300		
+/- 2 Sigma	95.45	45500		
+/- 3 Sigma	99.73	2700		
+/- 4 Sigma	99.9937	63		
+/- 5 Sigma	99.999943	0.0057		
+/- 6 Sigma	99.9999998	.002		
Table 4: The number of parts per million (ppm) outside the specification limits, normal				

distribution centered

2.2 **Objective:**

The aim of Six Sigma programs is to create a higher perceived value of the company's products and services in the eyes of the customer. (Keller (2005)) to find the cause of quality problems, implement controls, center the process on the target and reduce process variation.

Why should we use Six Sigma?

- It enhances ability to deliver customer satisfaction and cost improvement results faster.

Why do they call it Six Sigma? The sigma are a way of measuring perfection:

⇒ 3 sigma: is a historical standard, with 93, 32% perfection.

⇒ 4 sigma: current standard, with 99.38% perfection.

⇒ 6 sigma: future standard, with 99.9997% perfection.

2.3 **DMAIC**:

Six Sigma utilizes a powerful five-stage data-driven methodology to improve processes. The five steps in the Six Sigma methodology are easy to understand,

and they are logical in their sequence. Moreover the steps allow a team to adequately scope the problem, measure the current performance by quantifying the problem, analyze the root causes of problems, test and verify improvement recommendations and then implement changes for sustainability over the long haul (Brassard et al. 2002).

The five stages of the Six Sigma methodology are:



Figure 6: The five steps of Six Sigma methodology

• Define Phase:

The aim is to define the scope of the project and obtain background information about the process where the problem lies and its customers. At this phase, it is recommended to follow the points below:

- A Problem statement and goals: Review existing data to confirm that the problem exists, and ensure that it is important to your customers as well as business.

- Real High-level process map: It is very important to develop a high level process map also known as SIPOC, to understand the key inputs, outputs and the processes at a high level. SIPOC stands for suppliers, inputs, processes, outputs and customers.
- A project plans: A project plan should include the five phases: key milestones, schedule, team members, key deliverables and potential risks associated with the project.

Recommended tools in the define phase:



Figure 7: Tools used in the define phase

• Measure Phase:

The goal of this phase is to quantify the problem by collecting information about the current situation. The following points are recommended to be taken into account:

- \bigcirc *Collect baseline data*: The baseline performance of the process must be established in the measure phase. The typical measures include defect rate (defects per million opportunities − DPMO), process capability indices (C_p/C_{pk}), sigma quality level (SQL) of the process, throughput yield or rolled throughput yield, process lead time, cycle time of process, etc.

Recommended tools in the measure phase:



Figure 8: Tools used in the measure phase Analyze phase:

The analyze step aims to identify, root causes and confirm them with data. The following points are recommended:

- Analyze the process flow: Understand the bottlenecks in the process, assess the value-added and non-value-added activities in the process from a customer's perspective, analyze the CTQs, understand the key drivers of CTQs, etc.

Recommended tools in the measure phase:

- Ichikawa diagram;
- Brainstorming;
- Process analysis;
- GEMBA investigation.

• Improve phase:

The goal of the improve step is to develop, try out and implement solutions that address root causes. In this phase, one may generate potential solutions, select and prioritize solutions, perform risk assessment, pilot the solution for its effectiveness and finally evaluate the benefits. This phase not only involves deriving solutions for the problem at hand but also using the plan-do-check-act (PDCA) cycle to evaluate and improve the solutions we want to implement.

The following points must be taken into account in the improve phase of the project:

ℜ Evaluate and optimize the possible best solution;
ℜ Implement pilot solution;

 \mathfrak{R} Compare before and after scenarios.

Recommended tools in the *improve* phase include:

- Process capability analysis;
- Comparison study between before and after the implementation.

• Control phase:

This final step aims to sustain the gains, by standardizing the work methods or processes, anticipating future improvements and capturing and documenting the key lessons learned from the project and exploring the opportunities of transferring the knowledge to other operations in the business. In this phase, one should document standard operating procedures, develop process control plans, create project storyboard and transit process ownership.

Recommended tools in the control phase:

- Recommendation;
- Standard the solutions;
- Perspectives : training for doctors, nurses and technical staff;
- Simulation.

III- Lean management :

1- Historical development of Lean management:

The birth of lean was in Japan within Toyota in the 1940s: The Toyota Production System was based around the desire to produce in a continuous flow which did not rely on long production runs to be efficient. Taiichi Ohno had started work on the Toyota Production system in the 1940s and continued its development into the late 1980s unhindered by the advancements in computers which had allowed mass production to be further 'enhanced' by MRP Systems.

Ohno (1988) appointed that the fundamental goal of the Toyota production system is to eliminate waste; this is achieved by quality control and quality assurance. He emphasized the production of only the required type of units at the required time and in the required quantities. This enabled Toyota to become market leader in the worldwide automotive industry.

The term Lean manufacturing was coined in the 1990s when James Womack et al. (1990) wrote a book titled *The Machine That Changed the World* by This book combined production methods practiced in the US, Europe and Japan and referred to in the publication as 'Lean manufacturing'.

Liker and Wu (2000) defined 'Lean' as 'a philosophy of manufacturing that is based on developing the highest-quality products with lowest cost and delivered on time'.

Lean production techniques facilitate improvements in efficiency, response speed and production flexibility, which are realized by industrial enterprises such as Toyota.

2- Overview of Lean management:

2.1 <u>About Lean approach:</u>

The future of the company depends on its ability to keep customers and win new ones because they are the only source of earnings for the business. The company must therefore consider the requirements of its customers regarding to quality, time and costs.

The Lean Concept, which aims to increase profit by satisfying customer, uses these basic definitions of "added value" and "waste". (Lesure – zajkowska, 2004 a).

"Added value" represents the value of the good or service perceived by the customer. It's because the product exactly meets his needs that the customer agrees to pay the price claimed. Non-value-added activities increase costs without any added value for the customer: production, stock, transportation and capital costs.

According to the Toyota production system (TPS), wastes are classified into three different forms:

- a) 'Mura' or waste due to variation;
- b) 'Muri' or waste due to overburden;
- c) 'Muda' also known as waste.

'Muda' are classified into two categories:

- 1- Type 1 Muda: includes operations that engage resources without creating added value. (Blaik, 1996; Hines et Taylor, 2000; Kot, 2001). The customer requires a product with maximum value for low cost.
- 2- Type 2 Muda: activities (waste) that do not add value but are necessary for the system or process to function properly. This type of waste can be minimized but not necessarily eliminated completely.

We can't talk about Lean technique without mentioning its several common metrics:

Lean Common metrics

Waste : Waste implies an activity for which the customer is not willing to pay, it's an entity that consumes resources or time but does not enhance product value.

- *Value*: Toyota's management principals define value as the quality of a product or service as defined by customers. On the other hand, in customers view, value governs the transformation of a product or service and creates willingness to pay. Womack and Jones affirmed that value is meaningful only when expressed in terms of a specific product, and that it is the critical initiation for Lean thinking.
- *Flow:* the movement of raw materials or information.
- *Creating value*: Lean principles emphasize value creation by specifying value from the customer's viewpoint, designing the product which can fulfil all issues desired by the customer, determining a value stream by mapping the processes, improving the value stream by enhancing its process capability.
- *Value stream*: describes the sequence of the process from start to finish where the cost is incurred or value gets created. And it indicates all the activities required to develop a product or service.
- *Value flow:* Refers to the products produced or services delivered using the value stream based on customer requirements. The flow across the value stream must be smooth without any bottlenecks. The prevalence of bottlenecks in the value stream indicates the presence of waste and requires its removal. A smooth flow can be ensured with the involvement of all personnel associated with the process.

2.2 Key principles of Lean management:

Lack of understanding of Lean manufacturing key principles will end in failure due to lack of commitment. Without commitment, the process becomes ineffective. There are *five principles of Lean manufacturing*:

• *Specify the value of the product/service:*

Customer value implies the difference between the values that the customer benefits from by using a product and the cost of obtaining the product. Value denotes customer willingness to pay and its attached cost. The best price does not imply the lowest price but rather the best integration of quantity, quality and delivery. To determine the product value, the process sequence from beginning to end needs to be analyzed.

• *Identify the value Stream:*

It is a sequence of activities needed to design, manufacture and develop a specific good or service, along which information, materials and worth flows. Value stream denotes product manufacture from the generation of an order until the product is delivered to the customer. It implies all essential steps to develop a product or service to the customer from start to end.

The process in value stream needs to be classified into:

- Value added activities : adding value to the customer;
- Non-value added activities: not adding value to the customer.
- Necessary but non-value added activities: needed for product completion.

The flow of value stream must be smooth, and obstacles in the value stream need to be eliminated.

• *Make the value flow through the processes uninterrupted or diminished:*

The value flow is the product production using value stream based on customer requirements. The company's management must ensure that there are no bottlenecks in the process and the flow of value stream is smooth. In addition, Obstacles reduce the velocity of flow, affect lead time and increase cost. They may be due to *improper layout*, *improper tooling*, *downtime*, *equipment breakdown* or *large quantity of stock*. Appropriate actions need to be effected to overcome the obstacles for flow velocity improvement.





• *Customer pull:*

In a push system, the product is manufactured without understanding the customer need and gets pushed to market so as to sell to the customer. While a pull system focuses on producing products purely based on customer requirement, it minimizes and eliminates inventory.

• *Continually improve and strive for perfection:*

"Continuous improvement" implies incremental improvement of products, processes or services over time, with the objective of waste reduction to improve workplace functionality, customer service or product performance. Perfection could be attained only by bringing about involvement from personnel involved in the process execution. Long-term management policy needs to be deployed for implementing Lean enterprise.

2.3 <u>Wastes of lean management:</u>

In general, there are seven forms of Lean wastes: [TIMWOOD]



2.4 <u>Steps of lean:</u>

To apply the lean approach, we should follow many steps:

Step 1: Collect Data:

Observe current processes and look for wastes/ non-value-added activities. Involve the people who run these processes daily.

Step 2: Analyze Data:

The team starts to diagnose the issues through data analysis, looks for undesirable effects, incidents which are a part of the current process but we don't want to occur.

Step 3: Design the Change:

A change can be designed based on the data analyses, which can eliminate waste and undesirable effects. The design will usually involve the cross functional team who have collected and analyzed the data.

Step 4: Make the change:

The new process is put in place with appropriate training and measures, so that the team operating the process have the ability to monitor the sustainability of the change and can make adjustments as necessary.

<u>Step 5: measure benefits:</u>

As the team running the new process, improvement can be made and a culture of continuous improvement is developed.

What stops us from applying Lean?

With the benefits so apparently obvious the question has to be—what's stopping us?

The figure bellow shows some of the drivers and resistors within the manufacturing sector of the process industries; the ultimate sustainability requires additional supporting forces to further reduce and eliminate opposition. Because it is only when the specific driving forces for an organization are greater than the opposing forces that the change will occur.



IV- <u>Lean Six Sigma:</u>

1. Overview of lean Six Sigma:

Deploying Six Sigma in isolation cannot remove all types of waste from the business process, and deploying Lean management in isolation cannot bring a process into the state of statistical control and remove variation from the process (Corbett 2011). Therefore, some companies have decided to merge both of them to overcome the weaknesses of these two continuous improvement (CI) methodologies. The integration of these two approaches gives the organization more efficiency and effectiveness and helps to achieve superior performance faster than the implementation of each approach in isolation (Salah et al. 2010).



Figure 12: Lean Six Sigma

The *first integration* of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) were in the *US* by the George Group. The term LSS was *first introduced into the literature in 2002* as part of the evolution of Six Sigma (Timans et al. 2012).

Since that time, there has been a noticeable increase in deployment of Lean Six Sigma in industry, especially in large organisations such as Motorola, Honeywell, and many others (Timans et al. 2012; Laureani and Antony 2012) and in some small and medium sized manufacturing enterprises. (Kumar et al. 2006)

It is being widely recognized that LSS is an effective leadership development tool; it prepares leaders for their role, leading change.

1.1 Why does Lean need Six Sigma?

As robust as Lean is for dealing with lead-time and non-value-add costs, there are several critical problems you won't find addressed in the seminal books on Lean. Six Sigma provides robust solutions to these problems, which explains why Lean needs Six Sigma:

Lean doesn't explicitly prescribe the infrastructure needed to achieve results.	Companies that only apply Lean are sometimes <i>unable</i> to deploy it across the whole organization and sustain results because they lack the well-defined Six Sigma cultural infrastructure to generate senior management engagement, formalize training Thus the progress of Lean has been
	dependent on individual initiative. Many successful Lean implementations regress when a new manager takes over.
Customer Critical-to-Quality needs are not front and center.	The person creating the value stream map makes the decision as to whether an activity is value-add or not. In contrast, Six Sigma prescribes numerous places in improvement methods where the voices of customers and suppliers must be included. Simply put, the customer is not front and center in Lean, yet is ever-present in Six Sigma work.
Lean does not recognize the impact of variation	Lean does not possess the tools to reduce variation and bring a process under statistical control. The speed and cost gains of Lean can

Why Lean need Six Sigma?

be erased instantly by an increase in variation. Variation in the demand for an offering and variation in the time it takes to perform an activity that creates that offering both have a major impact on process leadtime, which Lean does not directly address.

Table 5: Why Lean need Six Sigma?

Most descriptions of Lean methodologies dive into the Improve phase (in DMAIC terminology), going right to solutions and jumping over Define and Measure. Without a prescribed Define step to understand how big the problem is; and a Measure phase to quantify the size versus the resources.

1.2 Why does Six Sigma need Lean?

As many companies have demonstrated, you can make a lot of gains with Six Sigma. However, no matter what tool you pick, if you don't have a Lean component to it, if you're not focusing on improving speed any gains will eventually die. Here are four reasons why Six Sigma benefits from Lean:

Identifying waste:

Though process mapping is a Six Sigma tool, it doesn't prescribe the collection of data necessary to quantify which steps of which process contribute the most non-value-add work/costs to the service or product. Lean provides the powerful value stream map tool, which crosses functional silos and highlights waste and delays.

Six Sigma rarely gets into a discussion of classifying activities as value-added or non-value-added, nor is elimination of non-value-add activities a central principle of Six Sigma.

Improving process speed or cycle time:

Improvement in cycle time and responsiveness is often claimed as a result of Six Sigma. Six Sigma experts (and their books), however, do not make either a practical or theoretical link between quality and speed.

There are many speed-acceleration lean tools (Total Productive Maintenance, time value analysis, 5S, etc.) that have been developed and refined over decades of experience. To ignore them is to risk limiting the performance of your processes.

Methods for rapid action (the Kaizen DMAIC process):

Lean methodologies include a rapid improvement method called Kaizens, which are short, intensive projects where a group of people with relevant knowledge are isolated for four or five days and apply structured improvement methods on a targeted process or activity.

Kaizens have a real role to play in service environments, having a timecompressed, action-oriented improvement method in your arsenal provides a good accelerator of DMAIC projects.

Six Sigma quality is approached much faster if Lean eliminates non valueadd steps.
2. Implementation of lean Six Sigma:

2.1 <u>Benefits of Lean Six sigma :</u>

Here are some of the benefits of using Lean Six Sigma:

- Reduced operational cost;
- Reduced cycle time;
- Reduced defects in processes;
- Reduced machine breakdown time;
- Reduced inventory;
- Increased profits and financial savings ;
- Increased customer satisfaction;
- Improved key performance metrics;
- Improved quality;
- Increased production capacity;
- Increased employee morale towards creative thinking;
- Reduction in workplace accidents as a result of housekeeping procedures.

2.2 Challenges of Lean Six Sigma:

Here are a few common roadblocks in successfully implementing LSS in an organization, and how:

- Lack of understanding of Lean Six Sigma methodology: the first challenge that we may face while implementing Lean Six Sigma is lack of understanding of the methodology, and a lack of belief that it will work. Successful projects silence the doubters, increase the self-confidence of the proponents of the approach and justify the leap of faith (Snee 2010).
- The first source of resistance experienced by many organizations is *the fear of change*, which can be part of an organization's culture that may not be readily visible.
- *Failure to recognize the need for change*: is another challenge, some contend that the current acquisition process is not broke; therefore, 'if it isn't broke, don't fix it!' Some may see LSS as just another passing 'quality management fad' that only serves to interfere with the traditional organizational culture and ways of doing things.

Lean Six Sigma Challenges	% Selected
Significant Culture change required	68 %
Data collection challenges	44 %
Resistance from knowldege workers and	28 %
middle management	
Continued commitment from Top	26 %
management after initial stage	

Sustained Companywide training and	20%
certification program	
Cost of training and certification program	20%
Excessive time spent "Scrubbing data"	19%

Table 6: Lean Six Sigma challenges

To eliminate them we propose the following tasks:

Establish process ownership and make sure that people are accountable for their own processes across the business.

Make sure that employees are provided with the necessary tools for process improvement so that they can continuously improve their processes.

3. Lean Six Sigma toolbox:



Figure 13: Lean Six Sigma Toolbox

3.1 <u>Toolbox:</u> 3.1.1 <u>Project charter:</u>

The project charter is a "document issued by the project initiator or sponsor", it includes most elements of a preliminary project scope statement, which describes what is and what is not included in the project. It also helps to control changes to the scope of the project throughout its duration or life cycle.

It allows all parties involved reaching agreement and document major aspects of the project such as the objectives, the scope, the deliverables, and the resources required. The charter supports the decision-making process and is also often used as a communication tool.



Step 7: are required, it is essential to make changes and get them approved by the champion.

Figure 14: steps of the Project Charter

PROJECT CHARTER

PROJECT TITLE:				Date:		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION (history, goal, p	urpose, scope, assumptions, t	time frame, pri	iorities, costs)		
-						
RENEETT AND EXDECTED	EFFECT (Von Do	Concellos).				
BENEFIT AND EXPECTED	EFFECT (Rey De	enverables):				
ASSUMPTIONS, RISKS AN	ND RISK MITIG	ATION PLAN:				
STAKEHOLDERS						
CHANGE SPONSOR:						
PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS	2 .					
PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS						
KEY EVENTS / MILESTON	ES;					
START DATE:		FINIS	H DATE:			
IS PROJECT PLAN CREATED?	? IFN	OT; WHEN WILL BE CREATED?				
RESOURCES REQUIRED:						
OPERATING BUDGET:						
CAPITAL BUDGET:						
External costs (.consulting, liv	censes, etc.)	Internal expenses (,equipment,	, installation,	Materials (supplies, facilities)		
		craning, ecc. y				
Approval/Review						
Role	Name		Date	Signature		
Project Leader						
Team Members						
Change Sponsor						
Change appendix						
and the second second second						

Figure 15: Example of a Project Charter

3.1.2 Critical to Quality:

The CTQ (Critical To Quality) concept is an essential part of LSS projects. CTQ characteristics are developed in order to fulfil the needs of valuable customers. The customer is typically the one who dictates the output specifications, in other words, the more that is known about the customer's needs, wants, and expectations, the more precise and accurate the project requirements will be to satisfy them.

This means that the more that is known about the customer's needs, wants, and expectations, the more the project can be aligned to satisfy the CTQ requirements as well as focus on variable measurements for evaluating success.

CTQs analyze the characteristics of the service or product that are termed by both the internal and external customer. A CTQ tree reflects what the customers of your process cite as absolutely essential to success, this helps clarify what constitutes a defect in the process, moving from general needs to more specific ones. Which enable us to focus on certain quality characteristics that are critical to our customers, especially when they are broad, vague and complex.

To construct a CTQ tree we can follow the following steps:



3.1.3 Process Flow chart:

The Process Flow chart provides a visual representation of the steps in a process. They are also referred to as Flow Diagrams. Constructing a flow chart is often one of the first activities of a process improvement effort, because of the following benefits:

- Gives everyone a clear understanding of the process;
- Helps to identify non-value-added operations;
- Facilitates teamwork and communication;
- Keeps everyone on the same page.

There are many symbols used to construct a flow chart; the more common symbols are shown below:



Figure 18: Symbols used in a Flow chart

We can make a flowchart more useful by adding information beside the boxes, like it's shown at the following example:



Figure 19: Example of a Flow chart

3.1.4 <u>SIPOC:</u>

SIPOC is a process improvement tool that provides a key summary of the inputs and outputs of one or many processes in tabular form. The word SIPOC is indeed an abbreviation that refers to suppliers, inputs, processes, outputs and customers, which represent the columns of the table. It is a vital tool for documenting a business process from start to end, in fact it was widely used in Six Sigma, Lean manufacturing, and other business process improvement strategies. SIPOC is used in the define phase of the define-measure-analyse-improve-control (DMAIC). We use a SIPOC diagram to:

- Define the scope of the project.
- Obtain a high-level understanding of a process during the initiation of a process improvement activity. It helps the process owner and and those related to the process to arrive at a consensus on process boundaries.

Steps of creating the SIPOC:



Figure 20: Steps Of SIPOC

An example of SIPOC table:

Purpose: Productivity In Owner:	nprovement			
Supplier	Inputs	Process	Output	Customer
ABC castings	Motor body			MN Pumps
Hi-tech enamels and	Windings	Motor body machining		Southern Pumps
PVCs		+		
PQR bearings	Impeller	Winding	Submersible	
Cast tech	Casing		pump	
Bright plastics and polymers	Fan and blower	Motor assembly		
XYZ switches and	Starter	· · ·		
electricals		Pump assembly		
	Bolts and nuts			
		Testing and inspection		

Figure 21: Example of SIPOC diagram

Conclusion:

As we can see, lean six sigma is a very powerful tool for improving the process, used in different fields and for several years. But before starting the integration, is there a tool - whose steps are similar - that could complement lean six sigma?

Chapter III: Introduction to Design thinking

Introduction:

One other useful tool that helps to find the main problems and that may help us find a solution is Design thinking.

Design thinking is a design methodology that provides a solution-based approach to solving problems. It's extremely useful in tackling complex problems that are ill-defined or unknown, by understanding the human needs involved, by reframing the problem in human centric ways, by creating many ideas in brainstorming sessions, and by adopting a hands-on approach in prototyping and testing.

1- Overview of Design thinking:

In his 1969 seminal text of design thinking methods, "*The Science of The Artificial*", Nobel Prize laureate Herbet Simon outlined one of the first formal models of Design Thinking process. Simon's model consists of seven major stages, and was largely influential in shaping some of the most widely used Design thinking process models today, and while they may have different numbers of stages ranging from three to seven, they are all based upon the same principles featured in Simon's 1969 model.

We will focus on the five-stage model proposed by the Hasso-Plattner Institute of Design at Stanford (d.school). The d.school is the leading university when it comes to teaching Design thinking.

2- Stages of Design thinking:

Understanding the following five stages of Design thinking will empower anyone to apply the methodology in order to solve complex problems that occur around us – In our companies, our countries, and even our planet.

The 5 stages of the methodology according to d.school, are as follow: Empathize, Define (the problem), Ideate, Prototype, and Test. Let's make a closer look at the five different stages of design thinking.



Figure 22: 5 stages of Design thinking process

a) <u>Empathize:</u>

The first step of the Design thinking process is to gain an empathic understanding of the problem you are trying to solve. This involves consulting experts to find

out more about the area of concern through observing, engaging, and empathizing with people to understand their experiences and motivations.

A substantial amount of information is gathered at this stage to use during the next stage and to develop the best possible understanding of the users, their needs, and the problems that underlie the development of that particular product.

For this first phase, we are going to use the "Empathy map".

cempathy map:

It's a tool developed by visual thinking company XPLANE. This tool, which we also like to call the "really simple customer profiler," helps you go beyond a customer's demographic characteristics and develop a better understanding of environment, behavior, concerns, and aspirations. Ultimately, it allows you to better understand what a customer is truly willing to pay for.



Figure 23: Example of Empathy map

We should answer the following questions about customers:

• What do they think and feel?

- What do they see?
- What do they hear?
- What do they say and do?
- Pain.
- Gain.

b) Define:

During the define phase, you put together the information you have created and gathered during the Empathize stage. You will analyze your observations and synthesize them in order to define the core problems that you and your team have identified up to this point. You should seek to define the problem as a problem statement in a human-centered manner.

The Define stage will help the designers in your team gather great ideas to establish features, functions, and any other elements that will allow them to solve the problems or, at the very least, allow users to resolve issues themselves with the minimum of difficulty.

For this phase, we will need to use the tools:

Customer Profile :

The Customer (Segment) Profile describes a specific customer, in a more structured and detailed way. It breaks the customer down into its jobs, pains, and gains.



Figure 24: Customer Profile Canvas

Ralue Map :

The value map is in general as follow:



c) Ideate:

During the third stage of the design thinking process, designers are ready to start generating ideas. There are hundreds of ideation techniques such as Brainstorm, brain write, Worst Possible Idea, and SCAMPER. It is important to get as many ideas or problem solutions as possible at the beginning of the ideation phase. We should pick some other ideation techniques by the end of the ideation phase to help us investigate and test our ideas to find the best way to either solve a problem, or provide the elements required to circumvent the problem.

d) **Prototype:**

This is an experimental phase, and the aim is to identify the best solution for each of the problems identified during the first three stages. The solutions are implemented within the prototype and, one by one, they are investigated and either accepted, improved and re-examined, or rejected on the basis of the users 'experiences.

e) <u>Test:</u>

This is the final stage of the 5 stage-model, but in an iterative process, the results generated during the testing phase are often used to redefine one or more problems and inform the understanding of the users, the conditions of use, how people think, behave, and feel, and to empathize.



Figure 26: Design thinking is a Non-linear methodology

The 5 stages are not always sequential, they don't have to follow any specific order and they can often occur in parallel and be repeated iteratively.

As you will note from the image above, one of the main benefits of the five-stage model is the way in which knowledge acquired at the later stages can feedback to earlier stages. Information is continually used to both inform the understanding of the problem and solution spaces, and to redefine the problem(s).

Conclusion:

The question is: can lean six sigma and design thinking be used in the healthcare industry? If so, is such a study done before? And what are the benefits of such an application?

Chapter IV: Research methodology

Introduction:

Initially, healthcare institutions will constantly have numerous priorities to deal with. One of them is to provide high quality care in the most economic manner. Quality in healthcare can have different interpretations, as it extends from service satisfaction up to the clinical outcome of the patients treatment. Hence, the medical treatment should be both safe and effective.

While other traditional quality initiatives, like TQM (Total Quality Management) or CQI (Continuous Quality Improvement) involve the use of statistics and data collection to measure and improve quality, aiming only on simpler operational issues, Six Sigma has proven to be a customer-focused, data driven and robust methodology to improve process and reduce costs.

I- Lean Six Sigma:

1. Lean Six Sigma in healthcare:

The concept of Lean Six Sigma quality policy is increasingly being used in the healthcare industry in order to re-design and re-define patient care processes, correct reporting errors, as well as eliminate defects and variations in processes that can be fatal. In healthcare environments, a defect is defined as a factor that leads to patient dissatisfaction. Examples of defects may range from long waiting lists to incorrect diagnosis or treatment.

Furthermore, Lean Six Sigma is the perfect quality assurance program for the healthcare industry, as it provides a comprehensive framework for in-house training and certification, while it determines a systematic organizational culture. So it will be an effective approach which facilitates a healthcare organization to offer advanced healthcare services to their patients.

Lean Six Sigma offers time and cost savings, revenue management and a set of indices that recognize patient outcomes and improve the "critical to quality" (CTQ"s) characteristics that are vital to patients expectations. Finally, a successfully implemented Lean Six Sigma program depends on long-term vision, commitment, leadership, management and training. Providing the requisite training to doctors, nurses, administrative staff and technicians, as well as developing an awareness on Six Sigma quality policies would greatly help create a path to quality improvement.

Some examples of correct implementation of the Lean Six Sigma approach would be the patient waiting time in emergency departments, charges for billing in patient financial services, delinquent medical records, inaccurate diagnostic outcomes, patient's length of stay, and medication errors.

2. <u>Research Methodology:</u>

A structured approach was adopted to search the published literature regarding lean six sigma in Healthcare. It involved searches from the well-known research databases like, Emerald, Google scholar, Science direct. The literature search is limited to English language. The search years included is from 2012 to 2018.



Figure 27: Research methodology Framework

3. <u>Analysis</u>

From the reviewed articles, we found out that few studies was interested in applying lean six sigma in Healthcare around the globe and most of them are English speaking countries (USA, UK and India) as illustrated in the table below, in order to identify the suitable Framework for our study, we put some criteria, first of all the implemented solution has to be simple with great benefits for the Healthcare organization second to choose similar study case with the nearest healthcare system used in our country.

Article N°	Methodolog v used	Tools	Countr v
1	Lean	Value Stream mapping, PDCA, Pareto Analysis, Cause-Effect Diagrams, Affinity Diagrams, Five Whys, Spaghetti Diagram	Brazil
2	Lean Six Sigma	Value stream mapping	USA
3	Lean	Value stream maps, process flow, Pareto diagram, Control Charts	UK
4	Lean Six Sigma	SIPOC, Project Charter, P-value, Box-Cox transformation, Process Analysis, Cause and effect diagram, Micro level flowchart, GEMBA investigation, t-test, f-test, Box plot.	India
		Table 7: Reviewed article analysis	



Figure 28: Study stages

The literature search is summarized by the state of art presented in (appendix 2).

II- Design thinking:

As we can see, design thinking is an approach that allows us to better understand our client to know what is bothering him and what he might like in the service or product we are proposing, in order to come out with solutions that could solve our problem.

"Design thinking has transformed the world we live in—from how we shop or how we consume to how we learn and how we live and play. It's only natural that design has a key role to play in healthcare. Applying design thinking in healthcare is now a strategic imperative."- Josette Galiano, Co-founder and Executive Director of The Institute of Healthcare Design Thinking.

1- Design thinking in healthcare:

Design thinking is a human-based approach to innovation that includes different methods. It is catalyzing creativity in healthcare, challenging the delivery of care, the interactions of providers and patients, and the ways healthcare organizations understand the communities they serve.

Several large hospitals and health actors (Mayo clinic, Kaiser Permanente and GE Healthcare for example) have adopted this mode of thinking (Design thinking) to develop more effective solutions, focused on the patient.

"By adopting the principles of design thinking, healthcare leaders, strategists, and marketers can transform their organizations to meet the demands of a new consumer-driven healthcare landscape."- David McDonald, Co-founder of The Institute of Healthcare Design Thinking.

The field of health meets several challenges:

- The number of patients is constantly increasing;
- Many types of diseases;
- The high price of treatments;
- Technology is developing very fast in medicine.

To be at the level of these challenges the only solution is innovation which allows to:

- Have the satisfaction of the patient;
- Ensure the safety of the patient and the hospital;
- Be more focused on the disease, since we will focus on the patient.



Figure 29: the impact of innovation on the quality of care

2- <u>How are we going to provide a more effective quality of care to</u> patients?

To answer this question, we first point out that the role of the engineer is to guide the staff to think outside the box, and to see things from an external point of view as they have never done before! In fact the approach is the following:



Figure 30: Steps of design thinking in healthcare

3- <u>Comparing Lean Six Sigma and Design thinking:</u>					
Design thinking	Lean Six Sigma				
	- Lean Six Sigma follows the 5				
- Practitioners observe people;	DMAIC process;				
- They watch how they behave	- The define and improve phases				
and interact;	are similar to the define and				
- They talk to people about how	ideate phases of design				
they are doing and how they are	thinking;				
feeling;	- While Lean Six Sigma process				
- The empathize and define	empathize measurement in				
phases of design thinking help	these phases, design thinking				
practitioners develop a sense of	process add more qualitative				
empathy	research to create empathy for				
	the customer.				
Table 8: Design thinking VS Lean Six Sigma					

Conclusion:

Lean six sigma has been used in the healthcare industry, its integration has given very satisfying results (reduction of waiting times, improvement of the process...). In the following chapters, we will implement the method at the CHU FEZ (Morocco) following the steps of the studies presented in state of art. Chapter V: Solutions using Lean Six Sigma Methodology

Introduction:

The study presented in this report, as simple as it seems, is the result of several stages of the work (Literature review, abstract of reports about similar studies, measurement, analysis, proposal of solutions and control)

Several brainstorming sessions were held with the staff of the service to complete tables and charts by which the problem was dealt with.

The most important step in the entire study is the data collection, a research was conducted at the archives of the unit gathering about 300 files (years: 2015-2016-2017-2018) and subsequently eliminating those who don't verify the inclusion criteria that were proposed by the work team. After filtering, we had as a resulted 100 remaining files.

The sample size:

Before starting work, the first thing to do is to calculate the number of patients that will be included in our project.

The sample size is given by the following expression:

$$n = \frac{\frac{Z^2 \times p(1-p)}{e^2}}{1 + (\frac{Z^2 \times p(1-p)}{e^2 N})}$$

n: Sample size;

N: Size of the population;

e : Margin of error;

p: estimated proportion of the population presenting the characteristic. (When unknown, we use

p = 0,5)

Z: Z-score ;

Confidence level: measures the certainty that the sample reflects the population within its margin of error. The standards commonly used by researchers are 90%, 95% and 99%.

Z-score is the number of standard deviations of a given proportion from the mean. To find the right Z-score to use, consult the table below:

Desired level of confidence	Z-score
80%	1,28
85%	1,44
90%	1,65
95%	1,96
99%	2,58
Table 9:The Z-Score	

In our case the size of the population N = 100. Since we don't have the value of the estimated proportion of the population we consider p = 0.5. If we want to have a 95% confidence level then according to Table Z = 1.96.

Error margin at 95% $\approx \frac{0.28}{\sqrt{N}}$

So
$$e = \frac{0.28}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{0.28}{\sqrt{100}} = 0.028 = 2.8\%$$

$$n = \frac{\frac{1.96^2 \times 0.5(1 - 0.5)}{0.028^2}}{1 + (\frac{1.96^2 \times 0.5(1 - 0.5)}{0.028^2 \times 100})} = 92,45 \approx 93$$

The study will consider a significant sample of about 93 distinct cases, at the service of visceral surgery unit B within the Chu of Fez, specifically cancer patients.

I- Lean Six Sigma: 1- <u>Define:</u> 1.1.Project charter:

Project	Using Lean Six Sigma And design thinking to improve the process quality in healthcare sector: Case study CHU Fez
Project manager	Mouna SQUALLI HOUSSAINI
Lean Six Sigma Black belt	Youssef SABBANI

Project description

Among the major problems encountered in the department of surgery unit B CHU Fez: the overcrowding of patients, which is due to long waiting times. This delay could be tolerated except for tumor patients, who despite being treated as an emergency case, wait several days to be operated. That's why we propose to focus on this type of patients, and to try to find a suitable solution to solve the problem by applying the Lean Six Sigma and design thinking methodology.

Scope of the project

Patients with cancer as illness.

Out of scope

All patients without cancer.

Objective of the

project

The objective from this study is to implement Lean six sigma and design thinking Framework in CHU-Fez in order to reduce the patient curing process using the two methodologies.

Expected benefits

Time and cost minimized, quality optimized.

Project's team

Name	Function
Pr. Imane TOUGHRAI	Professor at FMP-Fez and surgeon at
	CHU Fez, visceral surgery service-B.

Pr. Ahmed ABOUTAJEDDINE	Professor at FST-Fez.
Mr. Youssef SABBANI	Lean Six Sigma Black belt
Mouna SQUALLI HOUSSAINI	student

Planning:	DEFINE	MEASURE	ANALYZE	IMPROVE	CONTROL
Time requested :	3 days	1 month 15 days	7 days	4 days	1 day
Table 10: Planning Of DMAIC phases 1 Inclusion					

criteria:

Patients with digestive, pancreatic hepato-biliary cancer.

- .2 Exclusion criteria:
- ⇒ Non-operated cancer patients;
- ➡ Patients who executed some or all of the para-clinical examinations outside Chu-Fez;
- \Rightarrow Patients who had preoperative procedures or treatments.

1.2 Critical to quality (CTQ) tree:

Once we have defined the different axes of the project, it is necessary to know what the customer wants to have as a service (patient in our case) in order to properly characterize the problems of the unit.

CTQ tree is a very useful tool that meets this need. Indeed, it highlights all the actions and benefits that the patient seeks to have during his process.





As we can see, patient satisfaction depends on several criteria. During this study we will focus on reducing the waiting time of patients, since the methodology is indeed a tool for improving the process, working on the measurable criteria only.

1.3 Flowchart:



Figure 32: Flow chart of the patient process

1.4 SIPOC:

The SIPOC diagram is very important for the definition of the problem, it gives us a vision on the details of the process (Suppliers-Inputs-Process-Output-Customer). We will consider the process we have represented for the construction of our SIPOC table.



Figure 33: SIPOC

2. Measure:

2.1 The current process time:

The "measure" part consists in verifying if the process studied has problems or not, and to set a goal of the project. The first step is to take dates of the main steps of the process:

- Diagnosis;
- CT Scan (Tdm/TAP);
- Multidisciplinary / surgery meeting (RCP/ Staff);
- Surgery.

Here are some of the measures of the current process time, for more details check the Appendix 1.

Patient num- ber	Patient code	Diagnostic	Date of histological diagnosis	Date of CT scan (TDM/ TAP)	Multidisciplinary/ surgery meeting (RCP/ Staff)	Date of surgery.
1	12105147	Tumor of the rectum.	15/04/2015	29/12/2015	12/01/2016	14/01/2016
2	1412988	Tumor of the liver.	01/06/2015	21/07/2015	31/12/2015	13/01/2016
3	99791211	Tumor of the stomach	16/12/2015	22/12/2015	12/01/2015	19/01/2016

4	12141411713	Colon tumor	26/12/2015	19/01/2016	26/01/2016	28/01/2016
5	11141491112	Tumor of the stomach	02/01/2016	15/12/2015	12/01/2016	04/02/2016
6	135126911	Tumor of the rectum.	17/02/2016	07/12/2015	23/02/2016	21/06/2016
7	1355141212	Gallbladder tumor.	10/03/2016	04/02/2016	08/03/2016	21/03/2016
8	1358775	Sigmoid tumor	21/03/2016	03/02/2016	24/03/2016	31/03/2016
9	109714210	Biliary canal tumor.	30/03/2016	24/03/2016	22/03/2016	08/04/2016
10	1010135610	Tumor of the rectum.	22/02/2016	04/04/2016	05/04/2016	20/04/2016

Table 11: Current Process Time

2.2 Time series plot of total process time:

Once the necessary measures have been taken, we begin with a curve representing of the values distribution of the study. The axes of the curve are the patients in abscise and the total time of the process in ordinates.



Figure 34: Time series plot of total process time

We notice that there is a large distribution of the measures which means that *there is a problem in this process*.

2.3 Run chart of total process time:

This curve has the same axes as the previous one, except that now we connect the points, and we draw the line which passes by the value of the mean which is in our case:

$$\mu = mean = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{93} x_i}{n} = 97,30 \approx 97 \ days$$

Equation 1: the mean of the process

 x_i : Total time of the patients process (Days).

n: The sample (n=93 in this case).



We notice that there are several points that do not pass next to the line. So there is a *large dispersion that must be corrected*.

2.4 **Process capability report:**

The histogram describing the process (done by Minitab software) is as follows:





The curve obtained isn't in the shape of the gauss curve. We can therefore conclude that our problem doesn't follow the normal law.

Also, considering the values of Cp and Cpk we can say that:

- Cp \neq Cpk => *non-centered process*;
- Cpk < 1,33 => process that needs to be improved.



This curve represents the "scaled paper in normal probability". Once we associate each point with its percentage.

We notice that the majority of points don't pass through the red line, therefore the process is *out of statistical control* and *needs to be improved*.

2.6 Goal of the study:

The proportion of patients who have a total time \leq Mean of days is calculated as follow:

$$P(x \le 97.30) = P\left\{z \le \frac{97.30 - \mu}{\sigma}\right\} = 1 - P\left\{z \ge \frac{97.30 - \mu}{\sigma}\right\}$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\mu = \frac{\sum x_i}{N} = 97.30$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}(x_i - \mu)^2}{N - 1}\right)} = 135.3$$

N: Number of measures in the population;

 μ : The mean;

 σ : The standard deviation.

$$P(x \le 97.30) = 1 - P\left\{z \ge \frac{97,30 - 97,30}{135.3}\right\}$$
$$= 1 - P\{z \ge 0\}$$

According to the table (Apendix 5) the value of $P\{z \ge 0\}$ is 0,50. So $P(x \le 97.30) = 1 - 0.5 = 0.5 = 50\%$

We can conclude that only 50% of the sample is \leq 97,30 days.

The objective of this study is to have a process 100% less than 97,3 days.

3. <u>Analyze:</u>

The aim from this phase is to identify the root causes of the problem in order to eliminate them and to eliminate the non-value added activities, to achieve this target we made two different things, the first one we made a Micro-level flow chart as process analysis and the second things is Ishikawa diagram in order to identify the main causes of the problem.

3.1.Ishikawa Diagram:

This diagram graphically represents the causes leading to an effect. It can be used as a moderating tool for brainstorming and as a tool for synthetic visualization and communication of identified causes. It can be used at researching cause of a problem or identification and risk management when setting up a project.

We have made the diagram associated with our study with "variation of the process" as main effect, the figure bellow is the result of a brainstorming with the staff.



Figure 38: Ishikawa Diagram

Brainstorming session was made in order to identify the root causes of the problem, all the causes identified were classified in 4 categories (Method, Material, Staff, Environment) and weighted by each team Member using a 5 point liker scale (1 = minimum, 5 = maximum in order to identify the main root causes) Unimportant = 1, slightly important =2, Important = 3, very important =4, Critical = 5

	1	2	3	4	5	
	Equipment Problem			X		
Material	Breakdown of system			X		
	Not enough exam rooms			X		
Staff	Missed appointment			X		
Stall	Trainee (lack of experience)			Х		

	Staff unable to multitask					
	Appointment canceled at the last minute				X	
	Lack of communication Insufficiency of patients data					X
	Incomplete instructions to the patients				X	
	Lack of beds			X		
	exams costs		X			
Environment	Transports costs			X		
Methods	Lack of collaboration with other medical facilities					x
	Waiting for the female/ male doctor	X				
Methods	Time taken to take the samples to the lab	X				
	Delayed room turnovers	X				
	Delayed transport to the unit	X				
	Unnecessary follow ups			x		
	Unnecessary investigation			x		
	Table 12: Ishikawa liker scale					

3.2 5 whys of some Ishikawa causes:

The following table represents the 5 Whys for the main causes of the process variation, found by the Ishikawa tool and evaluated by the liker scales table.

	Why	Why	Why	Why	Why
Equipment's	lack of corrective maintenance	Invariability of technician	busy technician	the technicians are in charge of the whole hospital	the CHU includes several units
рговіет	lack of palliative maintenance	Invariability of a person in charge	we don't often think about it	There are no awareness campaigns	
Breakdown of system	connection problem	network failure	Failure due to the telecommunic ation company		
Not enough exam rooms	Too many patients hospitalized	limited service space	we must leave space for professors offices and study classrooms	Space should be reserved for external doctors Lessons; Professors must have an office within the unit	
Missed appointments	Patients forget	Too busy for his/her appointment	Patient underestimate his cancer Patient loses hope to be cured		
	Patients can'tHe livepay thefromtransport costshosp	He lives so far from the hospital	Chu Fez includes 3 big regions	There are not enough regional hospitals	

			· · · · · ·		
Appointment canceled at the last minute	Unexpected emergencies	Invariability of doctors	Lack of organization		
Trainee(lack of experience)	lack of training				
Lack of communicatio n	Most doctors are used to use scientific terms	the patient doesn't understand	A big proportion are illiterate		
Insufficiency	File not found among the archives	The patient takes his file and loses it	Carelessness of the staff		
of patients data		Poorly sorted archives.	Files not laid in their place after use.	The doctors don't care about this action	
Incomplete	This is often a patient emotional shock	Patients have false thoughts	They have the idea: cancer = death		
the patients	We are afraid of patients lack of understanding				
Lack of collaboration with other medical facilities	Few Hospitals Treat Cancer (studied) in the Region	lack of human and material resources			
Unnecessary follow ups	Too much exams requested	To be reassured of the reliability of the results	The results can be wrong sometimes		

Table 13: 5 whys of Ishikawa causes





Figure 39: Micro level Patient's flow chart

Patients in our sample needs to go through this whole process to have their surgery, except that the real work of the unit starts after the multidisciplinary meeting (RCP). All the steps before are done in order to have the missing exams

for the patient's file, and therefore the steps "Start => RCP" are only additional actions of the surgery unit B.

It is then necessary to study every step of the process along in order to characterize the critical phase of the process, the one that request the longest delay.

Diagnosis to CT scans:

>

The first phase of the patient's process is to diagnosis the cancer by many exams done at the radiotherapy service. Here are some charts that describes the variation of this phase:





This first run chart shows that there is a large dispersion at this phase, moreover, we notice that several points are far from the mean. So there is a problem at this step that we must handle.



Figure 41: Probability of time between Diagnosis and TDM/TAP This chart shows the following data:

- 1. Mean of time between Diagnosis and TDM/Tap : $\mu_1 = 53,41$ days ;
- 2. Standard deviation $\sigma_1 = 106,9$;
- 3. P-value < 0,005 => the phase doesn't follow the normal law.



Figure 42: Process capability report of time between Diagnosis and TDM/TAP At this level, we choose the following specification limits:

- LSL = 0 days;
- USL= Mean of the process= 97 days.

Also, considering the values of Cp and Cpk we can say that:

- Cp ≠ Cpk => *non-centered phase*;
- Cpk < 1,33 => *phase needs to be improved.*



Figure 43: Run chart of time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff

The run chart of time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff shows that there is a large dispersion at this phase. Also, we notice that several points are far from the mean. So there is a problem at this step that we must handle.



This chart shows the following data:

- 1. Mean of time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff : μ_2 =39,94 days ;
- 2. Standard deviation $\sigma_2 = 67,41$
- 3. P-value < 0,005 => the phase doesn't follow the normal law.



Figure 45: Process time capability report for time between TDM/TAP and RCP/Staff We can say that:

- Cp \neq Cpk => *non-centered phase*;
- Cpk < 1,33 => *phase needs to be improved.*


Figure 47: Probability plot of time between RCP/Staff and Surgery



Figure 48: Process time capability report for time between RCP/Staff and surgery

Similar to the previous steps, the conclusions are as follows:

- Mean of time between RCP/Staff and Surgery: $\mu_3=37,34$ days;
- Standard deviation $\sigma_3 = 68,63;$
- P-value < 0,005 => the phase doesn't follow the normal law.
- Cp \neq Cpk => *non-centered phase*;
- Cpk < 1,33 => *phase needs to be improved.*

Conclusion of the detailed study of the process:

The results of the detailed study of the process show that:

- $\mu_1 = 53,41 > \mu_2$;

 \geq

- $\mu_1 = 53,41 > \mu_3$.

We can conclude that the most critical phase in the whole process is the one between diagnosis and TDM/TAP.

We are then going to focus on this phase in order to optimize the process

3.4 Minimizing the delay between Diagnosis and CT Scan (TDM/TAP):

Since the first phase of the process is the most critical one, we propose to minimize it. In other words, we are going to suppose that we have the results of the exams on the same day.

This phase includes:

- 1. Request for TDM/TAP;
- 2. Appointments;
- 3. TDM/TAP realization;
- 4. Waiting for results;
- 5. Results of TDM/TAP.

It is obvious that the long delay between the two steps is due to the appointments and waiting for the results. These two actions add no value for the process, they are then *wastes* and they have to be reduced.

In other words, we can classify the sub process of the first phase into actions with and without added value as shown in the following table:

	e
Actions with added value	Non-added value actions
Request for TDM/TAP TDM/TAP realization	Appointments Waiting for Exams results Waiting for TDM/TAP results
Table 14. Actions with	and without added value

Table 14: Actions with and without added value

4. <u>Improve:</u>

The study shows that the most critical part of the process is the step between "diagnosis results and those of Ct scans". It is then on this part that the study will focus.

After minimizing the delay of the first phase, we have recalculated the new total process time. The question is: *Is there an improvement in the process?* The following charts are the answer:





Figure 50: Probability plot of total process time after improvement ⇒ P-value <0,005: the Process doesn't follow the normal law. ⇒ New mean of the process: 59, 59 days.



Figure 51: process capability report for the new total process time ⇒ Cp ≠ Cpk => non-centered process; ⇒ Cpk < 1,33 => the process still needs to be improved.

Before and after implementation:

The table below is a comparison of the total process time before and after implementation:

	Before the study	After the study
Average (Days)	97,3	59,59
Standard deviation (Days)	135,3	92,89
PPM (with upper specification limit as 97.30	258 064	150 537
days)		

Table 15: total process time before and after implementation The new probability of measures under 97,30 days:

$$P(x \le 97.30) = 1 - P\left\{z \ge \frac{97,30 - 59,59}{92,89}\right\}$$
$$= 1 - P\{z \ge 0,40\}$$

According to the table (Apendix 5) the value of $P\{z \ge 0,40\}$ is 0,340. So $P(x \le 97.30) = 1 - 0.340 = 0.66 = 66\%$

We can conclude that 66% of the sample is $\leq 97,30$ days.

Even if we have not achieved our goal (having 100% of the measures <97.30 days), we observe a noticeable improvement in our new process.

5. Control:

The analysis phase shows that we can consider two types of solutions for each part of the process, (I for the additional actions, and II for the main missions of the service):

- Part I: process improvement;

- Part II: standardization.

We haven't yet implemented the solution in Chu Fez, however we propose the following solutions to implement it successfully:

5.1. Process improvement:

To improve the process traceability:

- Design a paper that includes a table similar to the following model:

Steps of the process	Check (x) on the appropriate box	Date
Step (1)		
Step (2)		
Step (n)		

Table 16: Proposed model of traceability table

The paper will be attached to the yellow card, so that it cans follow all the activities of the patient (from diagnosis to surgery). At each stage of the process, the patient must give the doctor the paper in order to complete the chart by checking the accomplished step, and mentioning its date.

Improvement of the radiology department:

Increase the reliability of the scanner by improving its maintenance (corrective / preventive):

- Balance the work of technicians;
- The recruitment of technicians as needed.

Determine the radio capacity:

- Establish the order of priority of the B surgery department.
- Calculate the patient quota / day:
 - \Rightarrow Determine the average waiting time for patients of each unit.
 - ⇒ Depending on the capacity of the radiology per day, determine the number that each unit has the right to send there per day (quota).
 - ⇒ The visceral surgery unit B will send a specific number to the radiology every day.
- Give the number of quotas to the radiology unit.
- Patient flow management.

5.2. Standardization of the part II:

Standardization of the second part of the process:

- To determine the time of each one of the part II steps.
- Calculate the average of the total time for each sub step.
- Set standards.

Once the standards are set, we must know how to motivate the staff to follow them:

- Employee of the month: each month a paper is prepared containing some information on whoever has followed the proposed standards. And it is subsequently stuck somewhere on the walls of the service. This can have a suitable effect on employee behavior.

Conclusion:

The Lean Six Sigma has given very good solutions. Except that this methodology is limited by the existing process. Maybe we have solved in some ways the problem of the long waiting time, but nothing was reported about the other factors defining the quality sought by the patient. Is there a tool that can complement the results obtained in this chapter?

Chapter VI: Solutions using Design thinking

Introduction:

Talking about a patient-based process, it's really important to define first which quality is he looking for at the unit. Lean Six Sigma mentioned it in CTQ tree but we did not work with it for the rest of the phases.

In order to define the quality one must first go through the KANO model:



Figure 52: Kano

According to Kano the patient satisfaction depends on the existence of 3 types of quality:

- Basic;
- Performance;
- Excitement.

While Lean Six Sigma methodology focused on the performance and basic functionalities, Design thinking is a tool that will allow us to focus on the innovational part and then we can propose a completely new process.

1- Empathize:

It's really important to understand who is concerned by this study before defining the problem.

This is the first phase of design thinking. It allows us to understand our client (who is the patient in this case). The empathy map is a very powerful tool that focuses on patient's pain /gain, and what do they see? Hear? Feel? (For more details see appendix 3)



Figure 53: Empathy map

2- <u>Define:</u>

2.1 Customer profile:

Customer profile is a way of describing a customer categorically so that they can be grouped to better define our problem. Before even starting to analyze the process, it's important to take the time to carve out the ideal consumer profile for the service we propose (operating cancer patients).

We tried to build the customer profile canvas as a preliminary step in defining our problem. The canvas covers the categories of patients studied, what they don't appreciate in the service, and the things they would have liked to see and to have. (appendix 4)



Figure 54: Customer profile Canvas

2.2 Value map:

The value map is the second part of the customer profile, it's a canvas where we treat pains and gains mentioned before, by proposing some pain relievers and gain creators while mentioning the product or service we offer to our patients.

The figure bellow represent the value map of our study: (For more details check appendix 4)



3- <u>Ideate:</u>

The canvas that we have presented before have clarified the vision. They allowed us to have an idea on the actions to propose in order to correct the patient's problems. So we propose the following tasks:

- a)<u>Visual support to facilitate the communication between staff</u> and patients.
- b)<u>A before and after picture of a patient that operated</u> <u>successfully.</u>

c) <u>Map of the process.</u>

- d)<u>Application on patients phone containing explication videos.</u>
- e) <u>Make a paper containing a table (where we note informations</u> <u>about steps done and their date)</u>

f) Remove actions without added value: Eliminer les actions sans

Reprocessus de chiurgie despatients atteints du cancer hépatobiliaire et pancréatique se constitue de plusieures étapes tel que : Consultation -> Diagnostic -> TDM/TAP -> RCP/staff -> Intervention. Le délai de chacune d'entre elles ainsi que leur durée vocient, ce qui peut courser une grande vociation du délai d'attente des patients de notre échantillon.

On propose de selectionner parmi celles citées au paravant les actions qui n'apportent pas de valeur ajoutée pour le processus de l'étude. (voir figure ci-contre) processus des patients:



Figure 56: remove non-added value actions

g) Free reception centers:

Centres d'acceuil gratuits

"Le centre hospitalier Hassan II de Fes Couvre les habitants des région Fes - Doulmane / Keknès Tafilalet / Taga-Alhouceinna-Taouvate. Cela signifie que les patients doivent se déplacer plus souvent pour Compléter leurs analyses et examens ainri que pour faire les consultation et autres. Cependent, on ne peut négliger l'impôrtance des frais du transport. Ma majorité des patients ne peuvent se permettre les coûts des va et vient, et donc ils préférent reater leur rendez-vous cequi retarde l'intouvention. On propose alors:



Conclusion:

Design thinking is a method that allowed us to broaden our vision and work on a new concept. It served us well to complete the work done in the Lean Six Sigma phase.

Conclusion:

La santé est l'élément le plus précieux pour l'être humain, et assurer une qualité des soins est une responsabilité de toutes les parties prenantes du système de santé. Ce secteur dans pays émergents rencontre beaucoup de problèmes, notamment l'encombrement des listes d'attentes des hôpitaux, ce qui influence systématiquement sur la qualité du traitement des patients.

Des méthodes comme Lean Six Sigma et Design thinking ont montré leur efficacité pour améliorer aussi bien le temps du traitement des patients, et la robustesse du processus.

Dans ce travail, on a utilisé ces deux méthodes, et on a eu beaucoup de résultats motivants, pour continuer à les implanter dans le secteur de santé au Maroc. Parmi les résultats on cite:

- Moyenne du temps total du processus : $97,30 \Rightarrow 59,59$ jours.
- Ecart type : 135,3 => 92,89.
- PPM : 258 064 => 150 537.
- Convergence des solutions obtenues par les deux méthodes.

Perspectives :

- Continuer à implanter Lean Six Sigma et Design Thinking jusqu'à l'adoption de ces deux philosophies comme des méthodes de travail.
- Formation personnel et staff médical.
- Développer un concept général du secteur de santé au Maroc en se basant sur Lean Six Sigma et Design thinking.

Reference

H. M'hamdi ,I.Serhan. "Réalisation d'une application pour la gestion des rendez-vous et des hospitalisations ». 2013.

N.V.Fursule, Dr. S.V. Bansod, S.N. Fursule. "Understanding the Benefits and Limitations of Six Sigma". International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 1. 2012. Prof. Ph.D. Sorin-G.Toma, "What's Six Sigma". Faculty of Administration and Business -University of Bucharest. Marketing and sales, No. 8. 2008.

M.Brassard, L.Finn, D.Ginn, and Ritter."The Six Sigma Memory Jogger II, A Pocket Guide of Tools for Six Sigma Improvement Teams". New York: GOAL/ QPC. (2002).

D.Duret, M.Pillet. « Qualité en production de l'ISO 9000 à Six Sigma ». Éditions d'Organisation, 2005. J. Antony, S. Vinodh, E. V. Gijo. "LEAN SIX SIGMA for SMALL and MEDIUM SIZED ENTERPRISES A Practical Guide". 2016.

R.Rajagopalan, M.Francis, W.Suarez . "Developing novel catalysts with Six Sigma". Research Technology Management 47,13–16. 2004.

D.C.Angel, C.Pritchard. "BehaviortestsSixSigma.Industrial Engineer" (August),41. 2008.

Feng, Q.,Manuel,C.M."Under the knife: an ational survey of Six Sigma programs in US health care organizations". International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance 21(6),535–547. 2007.

T. Melton, "the benefits of lean manufacturing what lean thinking has to offer the process industries". Chemical engineering research and design, 83(a6): 662–673. Institution of chemical engineers. 2005. Uk.

E.Leseure Zajkowska. « Contribution à l'implantation de la méthode Lean Six Sigma dans les Petites et Moyennes Entreprises pour l'amélioration des processus ». Ecole Centrale de Lille, 2012. France.

P.Dennis. "Lean Production Simplified: A Plain-Language Guide to the World's Most Powerful Production System". Productivity Press.2007.

Lang, J.. "Disadvantages of Lean Manufacturing." Bizfluent, September 2017.

M.L. George. "Lean Six Sigma for Service". MCGRAW-HILL.2003.

COLONEL L.R. HERBERT. "LEAN SIX SIGMA CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES". U.S. Army War College, Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013-5050. 15 MARS 2008.

Aberdeen group. "The lean Six Sigma Benchmark Report". 2006.

President of treasury board of Canada secretariat, "Project charter guide". Catalogue No. BT48-4/2-2008E-PDF. Canada. 2008.

D.H Stamatis. "Essentials for the Improvement of Healthcare Using Lean & Six Sigma". International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-4398-4602-5. New York, 2011.

I.ARKOUDAS."QUALITY and SIX SIGMA APPLIED TO HOSPITALS: The Case of Papageorgiou General Hospital". Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Economic Sciences MSc in Logistics and Supply Chain Management. 23-02-2017.

A. Osterwalder, Y. Pigneur. « Business model genreation » .Canada, 2010.

A.osterwalder, Y.Pigneur, G.Bernarda, A.smith. "Value Proposition Design". Canada.2014.

Appendix 1 Table: Measures

Patient num- ber	Patient code	Diagnosis	Date of histological diagnosis	Date of Ct scan (TDM TAP)	Multidisciplinary/ surgery meeting (RCP/ Staff)	Date of surgery.
11	1361114116	Tumor of the stomach	09/03/2016	03/03/2016	29/03/2016	14/04/2016
12	138714136	Rectal tumor	30/03/2016	31/03/2016	28/04/2016	03/05/2016
13	78111267	Colonic tumor	18/05/2015	05/06/2015	07/07/2015	08/07/2015
14	13561168	Adk du bas retum	12/05/2016	05/05/2016	17/05/2016	23/05/2016
15	13798512	Coecal tumor	14/04/2016	09/05/2016	10/05/2016	11/05/2016
16	1381411129	Tumor of the colonic angle	10/02/2016	15/02/2016	12/05/2016	16/05/2016
17	135514108	Tumor of the lower rectum.	20/01/2016	05/02/2016	09/02/2016	25/05/2016
18	12968510	ADK colic	20/05/2016	19/05/2016	24/05/2016	02/06/2016
19	1378985	Colonic tumor	06/05/2016	24/05/2016	17/05/2016	30/05/2016
20	109810135	Gastric tumor	18/05/2016	28/05/2016	07/06/2016	08/06/2016
21	139109138	Colon tumor	07/05/2016	09/05/2016	02/06/2016	07/06/2016
22	131061078	Tumor of the esophagus	30/05/2016	30/05/2016	07/06/2016	28/06/2016
23	10912986	Sigmoid tumor	07/06/2016	07/06/2016	21/06/2016	21/07/2016
24	68109107	Stromal Tumor	27/07/2016	28/12/2016	19/07/2016	01/03/2017
25	137101377	Tumor of the cardia.	10/08/2016	17/03/2017	23/08/2016	07/07/2017
26	138851110	Gallbladder tumor.	02/06/2016	05/07/2016	02/08/2016	16/08/2016
27	8681176	Sigmoid tumor	02/08/2016	09/08/2016	09/08/2016	22/08/2016
28	1214138146	Sigmoid tumor	11/07/2016	22/08/2016	18/08/2016	29/08/2016
29	12861275	Tumor of the pancreas.	17/05/2016	17/08/2016	06/09/2016	18/10/2016
30	109117107	Tm cardinoide iléale	28/07/2016	15/09/2016	02/08/2016	20/09/2016
31	13139141311	Gastric tumor	20/10/2016	04/11/2016	08/11/2016	28/11/2016
32	12661489	Tumor of the stomach	25/10/2016	27/10/2016	17/11/2016	23/11/2016
33	14512141113	Gallbladder tumor.	21/12/2016	14/12/2016	20/12/2016	29/12/2016
34	9128141314	Rectal tumor	23/02/2015	29/10/2015	10/03/2015 05/05/2015	04/11/2015
35	1071112109	Rectal tumor	23/01/2017	04/01/2017	03/01/2017	04/02/2017

36	13135667	Tumor of the lower rectum.	09/09/2016	16/09/2016	05/01/2017	10/01/2017
37	1461014910	Colon tumor	19/10/2016	08/11/2016	08/11/2016	05/01/2017
38	146119119	Tm réctale	28/12/2016	06/01/2017	12/01/2017	18/01/2017
39	1313814118	Sigmoid rectum tumor.	20/09/2016	18/01/2017	19/01/2017	23/01/2017
40	128971411	Stromal Tumor	01/07/2015	09/12/2016	24/01/2017	13/03/2017
41	14710141213	Tumor of the head of the pancreas.	08/02/2017	01/02/2017	14/02/2017 07/02/2017	09/03/2017
42	1451213109	Gastric tumor	09/12/2016	09/12/2016	07/02/2017	15/02/2017
43	14713797	Gallbladder tumor.	09/02/2017	06/02/2017	07/02/2017	24/02/2017
44	14710141213	Tumor of the head of the pancreas.	08/02/2017	01/02/2017	14/02/2017	09/03/2017
45	14911569	Sigmoid tumor	21/02/2017	24/02/2017	21/03/2017	06/04/2017
46	14109958	Gastric tumor	22/03/2017	24/03/2017	06/04/2017	11/04/2017
47	1411148106	Gastric tumor	19/04/2017	11/04/2017	09/05/2017	17/05/2017
48	107119811	Gastric tumor	09/05/2017	15/05/2017	22/05/2017	29/05/2017
49	131411121014	Gallbladder tumor.	08/01/2016	16/12/2016	30/05/2017	31/05/2017
50	1412118710	Tumor of the head of the pancreas.	14/06/2017	02/06/2017	06/06/2017	19/06/2017
51	1412118147	Gastric tumor	16/05/2017	25/05/2017	30/05/2017	31/05/2017
52	141214121114	Gallbladder tumor.	16/06/2017	17/05/2017	20/06/2017	21/06/2017
53	1413117126	Gallbladder tumor.	05/09/2017	24/07/2017	18/07/2017	13/09/2017
54	6121210813	Rectal tumor	12/07/2016	27/05/2016	19/07/2016 06/09/2016	26/07/2017
55	99911514	Hepatogastric tumor	25/09/2017	19/09/2017	03/10/2017	08/11/2017
56	147981414	Stromal Tumor	28/06/2017	04/05/2017	27/10/2017	16/11/2017
57	85121367	Rectal tumor	20/11/2014	03/11/2014	27/01/2015	04/02/2015
58	109116712	Rectal tumor	24/07/2014	11/02/2014	02/02/2015	11/02/2015
59	119771210	ADK of the lower rectum.	01/10/2014	12/09/2014	22/09/2014	09/02/2015
60	11131011710	Colonic tumor	16/02/2015	23/01/2015	12/02/2015	19/02/2015
61	111368119	Left colonic tumor	25/12/2014	19/12/2014	12/02/2015	27/02/2015
62	11131111108	Sigmoid tumor	13/02/2015	01/02/2015	19/02/2015	24/02/2015
63	111314131412	Sigmoid tumor	16/01/2015	21/01/2015	26/01/2015	23/02/2015
64	1051211105	Tumor of the lower rectum.	17/01/2014	17/09/2015	06/10/2015	04/04/2016

65	118612119	Sigmoid tumor	26/09/2014	25/02/2015	10/03/2015	17/03/2015
66	1251012115	Gallbladder ADK.	13/03/2015	02/04/2015	02/04/2015	06/04/2015
67	1113714513	Adk of the lower rectum.	10/04/2015	14/04/2015	16/04/2015	20/04/2015
68	126814910	Colon tumor	06/04/2015	24/04/2015	23/04/2015	29/04/2015
69	126612713	Tumor of the transverse colon	21/04/2015	20/04/2015	05/05/2015	29/05/2015
70	95131479	Stromal Tumor	14/05/2015	19/05/2015	11/05/2015	16/06/2015
71	111311665	Tumor of the right colon.	06/05/2015	08/05/2015	12/05/2015	19/06/2015
72	6891155	Adk rectal	23/04/2015	28/04/2015	07/05/2015	01/07/2015
73	12814779	Gastric tumor	07/05/2015	19/06/2015	22/06/2015	06/07/2015
74	129111168	ADK gastric	27/07/2015	29/07/2015	06/08/2015	11/08/2015
75	1281414139	Gallbladder tumor.	09/09/2014	02/07/2015	05/08/2015	08/09/2015
76	1210128614	Gastric tumor	28/08/2015	28/08/2015	03/09/2015	04/09/2015
77	129651011	colonic tumor	11/08/2015	27/08/2015	15/09/2015	16/09/2015
78	12111181011	Rectal tumor	28/09/2015	23/09/2015	24/09/2015	30/09/2015
79	1113812147	Gastric tumor	23/05/2015	30/09/2015	27/10/2015	03/11/2015
80	121111131011	Gastric tumor	29/09/2015	02/10/2015	06/10/2015	10/12/2015
81	651081179	Sigmoid tumor	12/01/2018	15/01/2018	16/01/2018	24/01/2018
82	1251011810	Tumor of the lower rectum.	18/11/2017	08/12/2017	19/12/2017	02/02/2018
83	147614125	Sigmoid tumor	30/01/2017	27/01/2017	13/06/2017	20/06/2017
84	65765611	Rectal Tumor	23/09/2017	10/10/2017	17/10/2017	13/02/2018
85	659991213	Tumor of the head of the pancreas.	15/12/2018	15/12/2017	19/12/2017	22/01/2018
86	6511106136	Sigmoid tumor	02/02/2018	21/12/2017	23/01/2018	14/02/2018
87	1051261114	Rectal Tumor	21/12/2017	17/01/2018	22/02/2018	28/02/2018
88	651114101212	Tumor of the head of the pancreas.	10/01/2018	31/01/2018	13/02/2018	30/03/2018
89	6510145128	Tumor of the pancreas.	06/03/2018	12/03/2018	30/01/2018 13/02/2018	13/03/2018
90	6512751111	Gastric tumor	15/01/2018	02/03/2018	27/02/2018	19/03/2018
91	65127111413	Sigmoid tumor	07/03/2018	07/03/2018	13/03/2018	22/03/2018
92	141261066	Tumor of the pancreas.	20/06/2017	16/05/2017	14/06/2017	05/07/2017
93	65711121111	Gastric tumor	05/09/2017	28/08/2017	20/03/2018	26/03/2018

Appendix 2 Table: State of Art

N	Name of	Author,	Objectives	Methodology	Focus of researc	Finding	Suggestion s/ Future
0	the article	year	Objectives	memouology	h	Timung	researches/ challenges
	Process Improveme nt in a Cancer Outpatient Chemother apy Unit using Lean Healthcare	S.M Coelho, C.F Pinto, R.D Calado and M.B Silva. 2013.	The aim is to increase the efficiency of operations and improve the quality of care, by removing wastes and managing queues at a public oncology clinic.	 Production leveling "Heijunka"; Lean production : ⇒ Value stream map; PDCA cycle (Plan- Do- Check- Act); Pareto Analysi s; Cause- Effect Diagra ms; Affinity Diagra ms; Five Whys; Spaghett i Diagram (Pinto 2010). 	h Healthc- are	The main problem in this service of <i>Hospital</i> <i>Regional</i> <i>do Vale</i> <i>do</i> <i>Paraíba</i> is overcrowd ing. The treatment schedule is fragmente d in three parts, these agendas are changing several times during the same day. This variation is due to disease characteri stics and nonschedu led prescriptio ns. As a result they obtained Total time gain: 516	Challenges Our facility is located within a hospital and has limited expansion capacity.
						<i>hours</i> per year!	
2	Use of Lean and Six Sigma Methodo-	P.F Lawrenc e, MD, 2011	Optimize the surgical process time and	- Lean Six sigma.	Healthc- are	There are many barriers found,	There are limitations to this study related to

	1	1	1		
logy to	increase	- Value		such as	the
Improve	value from	stream		Unplanne	organizatio
Operating	each step of	manning		d surgical	nal and
Boom	the process	Drococco		volumo	infractructu
	the process.	- Flocess		volume	mmastructu
Efficiency	And to	Improve-		variation /	re
	initiate a	ments.		OR non-	capabilities
	global			operative	of our
	assessment			time/	institution.
	of patient			redundant	There is a
	flow from			natient	substantial
	the surgical			informatio	
	the surgical			informatio	UK
	consult			n.	capacity
	through				with 88
	postopera-			Patient	ORs
	tive			wait times	available
	recovery			at the	for daily
	recovery.			surgical	use and >20
				admission	use and >20
					additional
				s desk of	outpatient
				longer	OR/procedu
				than 10	ral areas
				minutes	available.
				were	The
				decreased	majority of
				ofter	majority or
				anter	the
				implement	surgeons
				a-tion of	operate on
				SPI (42%	an every
				versus	other day
				12%)	schedule
					which also
				On time	which also
					provides
				arrival to	the patient
				the	the option
				preoperati	of having
				ve area	next-dav
				was	surgery
				significant	Next day
				ly	INCAT-day
				Iy immuno and	surgery
				mproved	increases
				(81%	variability
				versus	in the
				52%)	system and
					a more
				Parallel	dynamic
				processing	scheduling
				significant	scheduning
				1	system.
				. ^{1y}	
				improved	
				overall	
				turnover	
				times (TS.	
				40	
				minutos	
				minutes	
				versus 30	
				minutes;	
				GYN, 35	

<u>3</u>	Lean Principles optimize on-time vascular surgery operating room	C J. Warner, MD, MS, D B. Walsh, A J. Horvath, BA, T R. Walsh, RN, D P. Herrick, BA, S J. Prentiss, MEng, and R J. Powell. 2013	The objective of this study is to identify the cause of delayed vascular surgery OR first case starts and optimize the use of OR time.	 DMAIC methodol ogy; Value stream maps and process flow diagrams; Pareto and control charts; 	Healthcare	minutes versus 20 minutes; Gen/CRS, 34 minutes versus 23 minutes;) Generally speaking, Efforts to reduce non- operative time between subsequen t cases for a given OR were successful across all specialties The study shows few non- value- added activities, including redundant document ation that needs to be removed & presentati ons that have to be streamline d. Missing surgeon document ation was a primary cause of delay in patient processing and clearance for	The utility of improving on-time OR first case starts to decrease costs is debated in the literature. They used opportunity costs instead of activity- based costing. True activity- based costing would have been incurred at the end of the day when ORs ran late and difficult to specifically	
----------	---	--	--	---	------------	--	---	--

			1				
						transportat	attribute to
						ion to the	changes in
						OR.	morning
							start times.
						Late	
						arrival of	This study
						the	was
						surgery	performed
						resident in	in an
						the	academic
						preoperati	medical
						ve holding	center; thus,
						unit, was	the results
						the	may not be
						primary	generalizabl
						cause of	e to
						delayed	nonteaching
						completio	hospitals.
						n of the	
						operative	With
						consent	process
						and	improveme
						history	nt, there is
						and	potential
						physical	for the
						update.	Hawthorne
							effect, or
							participants
							modifying
							their
							behavior in
							response to
							their
							knowledge
							of being
							studied.
<u>4</u>	Reducing	E.V.			Healthc-	A number	Results
	patient	Gijo, Jiju	The aim is	Six sigma	are	of non-	were
	waiting	A. and J.	to reduce	(DMAIC);		value	achieved by
	time in a	Hernand	waiting	amora		added	implementi
	pathology	ez, J.	time in a	SIPOC		activities	ng only
	department	Scaria.	super-	(supplier-		were	simple
	using the	2012	specialty	input-process-		identified	solutions,
	Six Sigma		hospital	output-		within the	without any
	methodolog		attached to	customer)		process	investment
	У		a large	mapping;		and	or cost
			electrical	Duciant		actions	impact for
			manufactur	Charter		were	the
			ing	Charter;		initiated	company.
			company	n volue		to	Turi
			based in	p-value;		eliminate	Training
			India.	Boy Cov		different	the lab
			T4 : 1	transformatic		torms of	technicians
			It includes	n ansionnatio		waste	and nurses
			Reducing	11,		using the	and help
			EK cycle			principles	them
1			time,				understand

	 increasing		of Lean	the Six
	timely	Process	thinking.	Sigma
	completion	analysis;		methodolog
	of medical		As a	y was a real
	records,	cause and	result, the	challenge
	increasing	effect	average	since they
	bed	diagram;	waiting	were only
	availability,		time	trained in
	improving	Micro level	reduced	topics
	patient	flowchart;	from 24	related to
	flow,		minutes to	medicine,
	enhancing	GEMBA	11	hygiene,
	patient	investigation	minutes	housekeepi
	safety,		(i.e. over	ng,
	increasing	t-test;	50 %	community
	capacity of	C	reduction)	services
	the	I-test;	, the	
	theatres,	Der ulati	standard	The scope
	reducing	Box plot;	deviation	of this
	medication	Dot plot	reduced	study was
	errors and	Dot piot.	from 17.5	limited to
	so on and		minutes to	sample
	so forth.		10.04	collection
			minutes(o	in the
			ver 40 %	pathology
			reduction)	department.
				Since it is
				the first
				time a Six
				Sigma
				project was
				undertaken
				in a
				hospital, the
				team was
				unenthusias
				tic to join
				the Six
				Sigma
				movement.

Table 17: State of art

Appendix 3

Empathy Map

Here are the Empathy map details presented by parts:



Figure 58: Part 1-empathy map



Figure 59: Part 2 - Empathy map



Figure 60: Value map- part 1



Figure 61: value map- part 2

Appendix 5 Table: normal law

$z \ge Z_a$	} ou P{z	$\leq -Z_{\alpha}$								i.
	•••••	<i>u</i>)					•			× 1
Za	x.x.0	x.x1	x.x2	x.x3	x.x4	x.x5	x.x6	x.x7	x.x8	x.x:
0.0	5000	4060	1000		10.10	1001	4704	4701	4681	464
0.1	4602	4562	.4920	.4880	.4840	.4801	.4701	.4721	1286	424
0.2	4207	4160	.4522	.4483	.4443	.4404	.4364	.4325	2907	38
0.3	3821	.4100	.4129	.4090	.4052	.4013	.3974	.3936	.3097	.30
0.4	3446	.3763	.3745	.3707	3669	.3632	.3594	.3557	.3520	.34
0.5	0440 boas	.3409	.3372	.3336	.3300	.3264	.3228	.3192	.3156	.31
0.5	.3085	.3050	.3015	.2981	.2946	.2912	.2877	.2843	.2810	.27
0.0	.2743	.2709 .	.2676	.2643	.2611	.2578	.2546	.2514	.2483	.24
0.7	,2420	.2389	.2358	.2327	.2297	.2266	.2236	.2206	.2177	.21
0.8	,2119	.2090	.2061	.2033	.2005	.1977	.1949	.1922	.1894	.18
0.9	.1841	.1814	.1788	.1762	.1736	.1711	.1685	.1660	.1635	.16
1.0	.1587	.1562	.1539	.1515	.1492	.1469	.1446	.1423	.1401	.13
1.1	.1357	.1335	.1314	.1292	.1271	.1251	.1230	.1210	.1190	.11
1.2	.1151	.1131	.1112	.1093	.1075	.1056	.1038	.1020	1003	09
1.3	.0968	.0951	.0934	.0918	.0901	.0885	.0869	.0853	.0838	.08
1.4	.0808	.0793	.0778	.0764	.0749	.0735-	07217	0708	0694	06
1.5	.0668	.0655	.0643	.0630	.0618	.0606	0594	0582	0571	.00
1.6	.0548	.0537	.0526	.0516 7	.0505	.0495	.0485	.0475	0465	.00
1.7	.0446	.0436	.0427	.0418	.0409	.0401	.0392	.0384	0375	۲0. ۲۵
1.8	.0359	.0351	.0344	.0336	.0329	.0322	0314	0307	0301	.00 @2
19	0287	0281	0274	0268	0262	0256	0250	0244	.0301	.02

Figure 62: Table of the normal law